POULTRY INTEGRATED MANAGEMENT SYSTEM

- FLOCKMAN -

Summary of Nine Field Trial Results
Introduction

R&D work and Field Trials on FLOCKMAN have proved the concept of feeding broilers in response to the growth potential of each flock. We have shown that it is beneficial for better digestion of feed and results in improved Feed Conversion. We also found that Health and Welfare benefits, such as reduced leg problems and lower mortality are associated with feed control and light programs.

Proper replication is necessary if results of Field Trials are to repeat our R&D findings for customers. The following are presented anonymously to preserve confidentiality. FLOCKMAN appeals to good managers: for the first time it offers them managed feed intake.

FLOCKMAN applies sound scientific principles though traditional good husbandry methods, and gives a high return on capital outlay.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Bird Nos</th>
<th>Live Wt (g)</th>
<th>Factory LW Tonnes</th>
<th>Feed Tonnes/House</th>
<th>FCR</th>
<th>Mortality %</th>
<th>EPEF</th>
<th>Extra Margin over feed cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>255</td>
<td>-42</td>
<td>-0.010</td>
<td>-2.69</td>
<td>0.062</td>
<td>2.26</td>
<td>9.7</td>
<td>£936</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>161</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>1.339</td>
<td>-2.69</td>
<td>0.090</td>
<td>1.27</td>
<td>21.6</td>
<td>£2,123</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>263</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>2.780</td>
<td>4.13</td>
<td>0.069</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>£1,258</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>364</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>2.704</td>
<td>0.61</td>
<td>0.033</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>15.4</td>
<td>£2,281</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>414</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>2.695</td>
<td>0.48</td>
<td>0.041</td>
<td>2.53</td>
<td>13.3</td>
<td>£2,127</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>116</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>1.645</td>
<td>1.88</td>
<td>0.100</td>
<td>1.33</td>
<td>35.0</td>
<td>£1,105</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>98</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>1.223</td>
<td>0.49</td>
<td>0.056</td>
<td>0.66</td>
<td>18.7</td>
<td>£1,163</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.770</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>0.062</td>
<td>1.01</td>
<td>17.6</td>
<td>£1,463</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

FLOCKMAN AVERAGE Benefits from all trials to date involving over 2 millions birds:-

1) 40 grams/bird extra liveweight.
2) 1.77 Tonnes more Factory Liveweight per house.
3) 0.32 Tonnes less feed used per house.
4) 0.062 better Feed Conversion.
5) 1.01% lower Mortality.
6) 17.6 more units of EPEF.
7) £ 1,463 EXTRA Margin over feed cost/house.
8) 4.32 pence EXTRA Margin over feed cost/bird housed.
Comment. There is 99.25% certainty of a FLOCKMAN benefit in LW per bird.
Comment. There is 99.989% certainty of a FLOCKMAN benefit in Tonnes LW / house.
Comment. There is 99.999% certainty of a *FLOCKMAN* benefit in final FCR
Comment. There is a 99.40% certainty of a *FLOCKMAN* benefit in % Mortality.
Comment. There is 99.99% certainty of a FLOCKMAN benefit in EPEF per house.
Comment. There is 99.999% certainty of a **FLOCKMAN** benefit in Extra Margin/house.
Comment. There is a 99.999% certainty of a FLOCKMAN benefit in Extra Margin/bird.
Title:
The effect of fully functional crops and feed intake control on growth, feed efficiency, mortality and profitability of broilers housed in large, modern, climate controlled houses

Summary:
Introduction Population pressure and climate change are reducing the land area for arable crops yet the growing middle classes in China, India, etc are demanding more meat in the human diet. Big increases in feed efficiency will be required to reconcile these conflicting pressures. Commercial chicken can convert feed at an FCR of less than 1.5, making them a good candidate for this role.

A study of the digestive physiology of the fowl, the Indian Jungle Fowl and the history of broiler growing practices over the last century, revealed that current industrial broiler growing practices are sub-optimal. Moreover, Forbes (2003) showed that feed soaked in water to a porridge like consistency and fed to broilers, gave substantial improvements in FCR compared to controls fed equal dry matter. But feeding soaked feed proved commercially impractical. However dissection of the crops of broiler chicken fed regular meals a day instead of ad-lib feeding showed that their crop contents were also of porridge like consistency. A theory was developed that meal time feeding could lead to similar benefits to those found by Forbes. Stacey (et al) 2004 showed that control and daily feedback information of feed intake of broilers was essential in order to optimise their growth to preset targets.

Material and methods Nine pairs of broiler houses containing minimum 25,000 birds fed on standard commercial feeds were used. Farms 1 and 3 had 2 houses over 2 crops, Farm 2 had 2 houses over 1 crop and Farm 4 had 4 houses over 2 crops. The “paired comparison” technique was used because of known high variability between birds from different parent stock regarding mortality and performance parameters. Each house within a pair was the same regarding equipment, management, breed, sex, parent stock, stocking density and date of housing. Special control equipment interfaced existing feeding and lighting equipment in one house within each pair in order to enable meal-time and not ad-lib feeding. Live weight, feed consumed, mortality parameters and factory weight and weight of feed used (together with their costs), were recorded. Statistical analysis of the performance difference between the new system and the control house within a pair was carried out using the t-test. Financial parameters were analysed in the same way.

Results Birds on the new system had significantly less mortality, faster growth and better feed efficiency as
measured by both Feed Conversion Ratio and European Performance Efficiency Factor (Table 1). Birds on the new system were visually cleaner and more active but no statistics are available. Financial parameters were also examined statistically. Participating growers were unwilling for the margin of bird value minus feed cost on the controls or the new system to be revealed. However they agreed to the difference between bird value and feed cost between controls and the new system to be published. The average benefit over all nine comparisons was 3.79 pence per bird and €1,083 per house. The p value was 0.002 and the 95% confidence limits were 2.59 to 4.98 pence per bird and £798 to £1,368 per house. (€0.0301 - €0.0578/bird : €927 - €1,588/house).

Table 1 Effects of the new system on performance

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>New System</th>
<th>Control</th>
<th>Benefit</th>
<th>p value</th>
<th>Lower</th>
<th>Higher</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mortality</td>
<td>3.27%</td>
<td>4.28%</td>
<td>1.01%</td>
<td>0.0053</td>
<td>0.50%</td>
<td>1.52%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liveweight</td>
<td>2057 g</td>
<td>2003 g</td>
<td>55 g</td>
<td>0.0010</td>
<td>30 g</td>
<td>80 g</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FCR</td>
<td>1.642</td>
<td>1.696</td>
<td>0.053</td>
<td>0.00028</td>
<td>0.033</td>
<td>0.073</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EPEF</td>
<td>331.9</td>
<td>311.4</td>
<td>20.5</td>
<td>0.00006</td>
<td>14.3</td>
<td>26.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: There were no farm interactions as all of the paired comparison differences lay within the normal distribution.

**Conclusions** The results show that the new system significantly improved bird performance and profitability. Confidence limits show a 97.5% probability that the new system improved mortality by a minimum of 0.5% and by a minimum of 0.033 and an extra margin over feed cost by a minimum of £798 per house of 25,000 birds per crop. With 6-7 crops of broilers per year, this represents a satisfactory return on capital. Less feed intake also lowers scarce water and energy use and the carbon footprint of the meat produced.
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